

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,471	09/895,471 06/29/2001		Scott R. Shell	50037.25US01	6530	
27488	7590	08/18/2005		EXAMINER		
MICROSO	FT COR	PORATION	HOM, SHICK C			
		GOULD, L.L.C.				
P.O. BOX 29	003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, M	N 55402-0903		2666		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/895,471	SHELL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shick C. Hom	2666				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	•				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	· · · · · ·			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 19,22,23 and 33-35 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 19,22,23 and 33-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	` ,			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)			

Art Unit: 2666

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19, 22-23, and 33-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 5 line 11 delete typo "The may" and insert ---There may---. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
  - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 33 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 33 and 19 are directed to a data structure per se and data routing, it merely claims a data structure comprising a header field and a setting field being routed back to the initiator, i.e. a structure that is not tied to any physical structure, and do not fall within any of the four

Art Unit: 2666

statutory classes of new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

  Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/895,471
Art Unit: 2666

7. Claims 22, 23, 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weghorst et al. (6,775,559) in view of Zhang (2001/0049263).

Regarding claims 22, 23, 34, 35:

Weghorst et al. disclose a mobile device including a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions (see col. 1 lines 46-56 which recite the use of software and computer program in a mobile radio network) for performing steps, including: receiving a configuration message including a payload identifying values for a group of settings stored on the mobile device (see col. 4 lines 6-43 which recite the mobile telephone device receiving messages identified as configuration message including payload data serviced by the center for settings stored on the SIM card); passing the payload to a configuration component responsible for maintaining the group of settings; modifying, by the configuration component, the group of settings stored on the mobile device so that they reflect the values identified in the payload (see col. 3 lines 24-37 which recite means for storing configuration settings; means for modifying the configuration data; and means for transmitting and receiving message containing new configuration setting); and returning a response document to an initiator of the

Application/Control Number: 09/895,471

Art Unit: 2666

configuration message, the response document including an indication of the status of the group of settings (see col. 4 lines 11-22 which recite means for retrieving the status of the current setting of the device from the center) as in claims 22, 34, 35.

For claims 22, 23, 34, 35, Weghorst et al. disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of parsing the configuration message to identify the group of settings stored on the mobile device as in claims 34, 35 and identifying which configuration component is responsible for maintaining the group of settings as in claim 35; and wherein the indication of the status of the group of settings indicates that an error occurred while attempting to modify the values for the group of settings as in claim 23.

Zhang from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide the step of parsing the configuration message to identify the group of settings stored on the mobile device and identifying which configuration component is responsible for maintaining the group of settings and wherein the indication of the status of the group of settings indicates that an error occurred while attempting to modify the values for the group of settings (see paragraphs 0026 and 0044 which recite the configuration setting data being

Page 6

Art Unit: 2666

provided to each mobile station upon analysis of the performance and error data at the service center clearly reads on the step of parsing configuration message; identifying configuration for maintaining group of settings; and indication of an error). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the step of parsing the configuration message to identify the group of settings stored on the mobile device and identifying which configuration component is responsible for maintaining the group of settings as taught by Zhang et al. in the mobile device of Weghorst et al. The step of parsing the configuration message to identify the group of settings stored on the mobile device and identifying which configuration component is responsible for maintaining the group of settings can be implemented by including the step of parsing and identifying of Zhang in the software program for setting configuring of Weghorst et al. The motivation for using the step of parsing the configuration message to identify the group of settings stored on the mobile device and identifying which configuration component is responsible for maintaining the group of settings as taught by Zhang in the mobile device of Weghorst et al. being that it provides improved automatic mobile station system

Application/Control Number: 09/895,471

Art Unit: 2666

performance monitoring and error reporting to allow for tracking of performance and errors of a mobile station.

Page 7

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Klein discloses auto configuration of portable computers for use in wireless local area networks.

Kirbas et al. disclose a system for automatically configuring features on a mobile telephone based on geographic location.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick C. Hom whose telephone number is 571-272-3173. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,471

Art Unit: 2666

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sh

DANG TON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8